

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/588,478	06/07/2000	Yasuhiro Morii	50073-030	8907	
20277	7590 03/08/2002				
MCDERMOTT WILL & EMERY			EXAMINER		
600 13TH STF WASHINGTO	REET, N.W. DN, DC 20005-3096		RUDE, TIN	MOTHY L	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2871		
			DATE MAILED: 03/08/2002	DATE MAILED: 03/08/2002	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

				14/			
. K	Application N	lo.	Applicant(s)	10			
Office Action Cummans	09/588,478		MORII, YASUHIRO				
Office Action Summary	Examiner		Art Unit				
TI MAII INO DATE Afabir communicati n com	Timothy L Ruc		2871				
The MAILING DATE of this communicati n appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply							
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 1 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).							
Status 1) ■ Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>07 J</u>	une 2000 .						
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposition of Claims							
4) Claim(s) 1-16 is/are pending in the application.							
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.							
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8) Claim(s) 1-16 are subject to restriction and/or e	election require	ement.					
Application Papers							
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.							
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accep	oted or b)□ obje	ected to by the Exar	niner.				
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
11)☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on	is: a)∏ appro	oved b)⊡ disappro	ved by the Examiner.				
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.							
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120							
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).							
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:							
1. Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been re	ceived.					
2. Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been re	ceived in Application	on No				
Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).							
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.							
Attachment(s)							
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	4) [5) [6) [(PTO-413) Paper No(s) atent Application (PTO-152				

Art Unit: 2871

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

- 1. A telephone call was made to Applicant's Attorney on 19 February 2002, but it did not result in an election/restriction.
- 2. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
 - Claims 1-14, drawn to a liquid crystal display (LCD) device, classified in class 349, subclass 155.
 - II. Claims 15 and 16, drawn to a process of fabricating a LCD device, classified in class 349, subclass 187.

Invention II and Invention I are related as process of making and product made. The inventions are distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) that the process as claimed can be used to make other and materially different product or (2) that the product as claimed can be made by another and materially different process (MPEP § 806.05(f)). In the instant case (2), the products A through E can be made with the use of an evacuation opening in conjunction with the injection opening, e.g., feed and bleed.

سري

Art Unit: 2871

3. Furthermore, Group I contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct

Page 3

species of the claimed invention:

Species A:

Claims 12-14 are drawn to a LCD device comprising spacers, and claims 1, 2, 4,

and 5 are drawn to a liquid crystal display (LCD) device comprising spacers coated with

a thermoplastic polymer.

Species B:

Claim 3 is drawn to a LCD characterized in that the spacers are made of a

polymer compound having a number of long-chain alkyl groups in its surface.

Species C:

Claims 6 and 11 are drawn to a LCD characterized in that a projecting pattern is

locally formed below the alignment layer on the first substrate but above one or both of

the scanning signal line and the image signal line.

Species D:

Claims 7 and 8 are drawn to a LCD characterized in that a projecting pattern is

locally formed below the alignment layer on the second substrate but above the light-

shielding film.

Application/Control Num

Art Unit: 2871

Species E:

Claims 9 and 10 are drawn to a LCD characterized in that a projecting patterns are locally formed below the alignment layer on the first substrate but above one or both of the scanning signal line and the image signal line, and below the alignment layer on the second substrate but above the light-shielding film in such a manner that the two patterns face to each other.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record

Art Unit: 2871

showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

4. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Timothy L Rude whose telephone number is (703) 305-0418. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Thursday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, William L Sikes can be reached on (703) 308-4842. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 308-7724 for regular communications and (703) 308-7725 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 305-4900.

TLR

March 7, 2002

Timothy L Rude Examiner Art Unit 2871

Helliam L Schr

Page 5

Supervisory Patent Examiner Technology Center 2800